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The King and the Kin
is kinship artificial and when is it 'true kinship'? The criterion of birth is
supposed, despite many examples to the contrary, including the 'bond-
ingood' of the Eskimos, which has been a subject of discussion. The
criterion of birth is not a satisfactory one, for it is not an absolute
measure of the extent of shared experience or of the degree of
identification with the group. For example, a child born of one
parent and adopted by another may be more closely identified with
the adoptive family than with the biological family. Similarly, a
child born of mixed parents may be more closely identified with
one parent than with the other. In such cases, the criterion of birth
is not a satisfactory one.

The criterion of blood is also not satisfactory, for it is not an
absolute measure of the extent of shared experience or of the degree
of identification with the group. For example, a child born of one
parent and adopted by another may be more closely identified with
the adoptive family than with the biological family. Similarly, a
child born of mixed parents may be more closely identified with
one parent than with the other. In such cases, the criterion of blood
is not a satisfactory one.

The criterion of marriage is also not satisfactory, for it is not an
absolute measure of the extent of shared experience or of the degree
of identification with the group. For example, a child born of one
parent and adopted by another may be more closely identified with
the adoptive family than with the biological family. Similarly, a
child born of mixed parents may be more closely identified with
one parent than with the other. In such cases, the criterion of marriage
is not a satisfactory one.

The criterion of血line or kinship is also not satisfactory, for it is not an
absolute measure of the extent of shared experience or of the degree
of identification with the group. For example, a child born of one
parent and adopted by another may be more closely identified with
the adoptive family than with the biological family. Similarly, a
child born of mixed parents may be more closely identified with
one parent than with the other. In such cases, the criterion of血line or kinship
is not a satisfactory one.
The nature of friendship is founded upon sentiment. If the agreement is a real one, it should not be a casual or accidental acquaintance. It should be based on affection and sympathy. To express this in the form of a definition, a friendship founded upon the very essence of finding common interests, and each party is expected to be inclined towards the sentiment of the other. If the sentiment is sincere, it will be evident in the conduct of both parties. If there is a genuine sentiment, it will be evident in the conduct of both parties. If there is a genuine sentiment, it will be evident in the conduct of both parties.
The King and the Man
The example might be taken as so much more than a metaphor of traditional Mediterranean culture and its position in the family system. The individual, the group, and the community of the people, the family, the group, and the community of the people, are all bound together in a web of relationships. In the Mediterranean, the individual family is a unit of social identity, it is subject only to the individual will and the values of the family, and it is bound together by the ties of kinship and the obligations that are derived from the relationships of the past. The individual is not recognized as a separate entity, as the individual in the West. It is a kind of collective personality, a group of individuals, united by the ties of kinship and the obligations that are derived from the relationships of the past. The individual is not recognized as a separate entity, as the individual in the West. It is a kind of collective personality, a group of individuals, united by the ties of kinship and the obligations that are derived from the relationships of the past. The individual is not recognized as a separate entity, as the individual in the West. It is a kind of collective personality, a group of individuals, united by the ties of kinship and the obligations that are derived from the relationships of the past. The individual is not recognized as a separate entity, as the individual in the West. It is a kind of collective personality, a group of individuals, united by the ties of kinship and the obligations that are derived from the relationships of the past. The individual is not recognized as a separate entity, as the individual in the West. It is a kind of collective personality, a group of individuals, united by the ties of kinship and the obligations that are derived from the relationships of the past. The individual is not recognized as a separate entity, as the individual in the West. It is a kind of collective personality, a group of individuals, united by the ties of kinship and the obligations that are derived from the relationships of the past. The individual is not recognized as a separate entity, as the individual in the West. It is a kind of collective personality, a group of individuals, united by the ties of kinship and the obligations that are derived from the relationships of the past. The individual is not recognized as a separate entity, as the individual in the West. It is a kind of collective personality, a group of individuals, united by the ties of kinship and the obligations that are derived from the relationships of the past.